

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Facilities Division Self-Assessment Penetration Permit Technical Assurance Program



Janice Sexson, Gene Tucker
3/30/2013

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
Introduction	2
Focus Area Description	3
Current Requirements	4
Assessment Results	4
Inspections	4
Training	5
Penetration Permit Documents	6
Occurrence Reports and Corrective Actions	7
EHSS Health and Safety Document	8
Responsible Individuals Interviews	8
Findings, Observations, and Noteworthy	8
Recommended Corrective Actions	9
Conclusion	9
Assessment Scope/Supporting Documentation	10
Appendix A -Lines of Inquiry	11
Appendix B-G -CATS Closure Documentation	12
Appendix H-List of TAP Inspections and Refresher Training	18

 3/28/13
Jennifer Ridgeway Date
Facilities Division Director

Executive Summary

The LBNL Penetration Permit Program establishes work control processes and procedures to ensure the safe penetration of ground or existing surfaces of LBNL properties. Work controls include institutional requirements and oversight that must be completed prior to beginning any surface penetration action. Effective implementation of such work controls prevents injury and property damage while minimizing disruptions of essential services.

The Facilities Division uses the Self-Assessment process to conduct an annual Technical Assurance Program Review of the Penetration Permit program and to determine if any improvements, noteworthy practices, or corrective actions are necessary.

This Self-Assessment examines the corrective actions developed during the previous self-assessment review, the Occurrence Report: SC—BSO—LBL-Operations-2012-0001, and the Department of Energy Assessment of the Penetration Permit Program at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, conducted on August 2012.

The team responsible for the implementation of the Penetration Permit Program continues to be highly regarded by all of the randomly selected Responsible Individuals (RIs) for the second year in a row.

During the 2011/2012 Fiscal year, the Utility Group actively revised the Penetration Permit Procedure (ADMN-053), FAC0070 (the Penetration Permit training class), and FAC0074 (the Penetration Permit refresher training). This assessment found that 50% of RI's interviewed were unaware of any revisions or process changes to ADMN-053. The Penetration Permit refresher training that was developed in 2011 is set as a recommended training in the JHA system. A review of the data shows that not every RI has had the refresher training. The refresher training should be a required training to ensure that all RI's have a current understanding of the process.

The Utility Technical Assurance Inspector documented 80 inspections this year. The overall percentage of Technical Assurance Inspections declined this year from 34% to 26%. The number of inspections fluctuates from month to month as the availability of the Technical Assurance Inspector to conduct inspections is affected by his other work tasks.

Between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012, there was one Occurrence Report involving Penetration Permit issues. Four corrective actions were developed to address this incident, which have all been closed. However the uploaded objective evidence in three of the corrective actions was either inadequate or has not been implemented as intended.

There were no findings associated with this review. There were 5 observations and 5 corrective actions (see page 8).

Introduction

The goal of this Self- Assessment is to identify improvements, necessary corrective actions or noteworthy practices of the lab's Penetration Permit Program. It is also intended to serve as the Division's annual Technical Assurance Program.

This review examined all five ISM core values as they pertain to the management of the Penetration Permit Program:

- Define the Work-The questions on the penetration permit application were reviewed for clarity and correctness. The process of drafting the Penetration Permit was reviewed. The permit application was updated and the RI's were interviewed about the changes.
- Analyze the Hazards-Responsible Individuals were interviewed concerning their responsibilities in conjunction with oversight and hazard assessment in the Penetration process.
- Develop Controls-A Penetration Permit is a control that must be developed and maintained in accordance with procedure ADMN-053. The ADMN-053 was updated and Responsible Individuals were asked about their knowledge of changes to the document and process.
- Perform the Work-Inspections are a crucial component of the Penetration Permit Process. Documentation must be maintained and all sections completed. Work performed must adhere to the scope outlined in the Penetration Permit. All documented inspections for the year were reviewed.
- Obtain Feedback-Feedback was gained during the interviews. A sampling of the Responsible Individuals, the Utility Inspector, Utility Coordinator, Utility Locator, the Utility Manager, and an EH&SS inspector were interviewed during this Self- Assessment.

Definitions

Responsible Individual (RI) – The RI is the Laboratory representative requesting the permit and shall ensure that subcontractor/craft workers are informed about LBNL Penetration Permit requirements.

Findings –A regulatory deficiency and/or a noncompliance with internal requirements such as, but not necessarily limited to, formal authorizations and hazardous work permits.

Observations –A practice or condition that is not technically noncompliant with an external or internal regulation or requirement, but could lead to noncompliance if left unaddressed.

Noteworthy –Practices or conditions that are recognized for their excellence and should be considered for Lab-wide application.

L-3 Findings – Observations that the assessor deems to be an isolated, minor, quick fix or non-adherence to best practices/internal procedures/accepted standards.

Focus Area Description

The Self –Assessment team worked with the Subject Matter Experts and the Responsible Individuals to determine if the process is working as intended. The team reviewed all of the available draft penetration permits dating from October 2, 2011 through September 30, 2012. ADMN-053 was reviewed and updated to ensure the current content meets the requirements for a comprehensive penetration permit program.

Current Requirements

All of the steps necessary for the safe penetration of ground, walls, or other existing surfaces of LBNL properties and the instructional requirements prior to beginning any penetration actions are defined in ADMN-053 Rev. 5.

Assessment Results

Inspections

Of the 298 Penetration Permits issued during 2011-2012, the Utility Technical Assurance Inspector documented 80 inspections (27%). Of these inspections, 11 (13 %) (Appendix H) noted some issue or finding. The most frequently observed finding was missing signatures (usually on the start-up sheet).

During last year's Self-Assessment Review the Utility Technical Assurance Inspector documented 117 inspections (34%). During this current review period (2011-2012) the number of inspections was 80 (27%). The TAP Inspector performed 13 of the 80 inspections on himself or on the Utility Coordinator. Performing these inspections on the Utility Penetration Team is a good practice; however, this means that only 67 inspections were performed on non-subject matter experts. This reduces the inspection percentages to 22% of issued Penetration Permits performed on a non-Subject Matter Expert.

During the interviews, both the RIs and the TAP Inspector said, that much of the time the TAP Inspector gives a verbal reminder over the phone because he is unable to inspect the majority of the penetrations and that he is unable to attend most permit sites. The TAP inspector also said that there is a low probability of being at a site when the initial penetration occurs. Both the TAP Inspector and the Utility Locator stated that they provide oversight on a risk basis, ensuring they check most frequently on the less experienced RIs.

The numbers of inspections fluctuate from month to month as the availability of the Inspector is affected by his other work tasks. The greatest numbers of Penetration Permits are usually issued at year end during a heavy work load time period. The 2012 Penetration Permit Self-Assessment identified this issue in January 2012. A corrective action was developed (CATS 8958-5) stating "The Utility Manager should increase the percentage of inspections covered during year end." The corrective action was closed with the statement "Due to the many year-end projects and the resource limitation, Utility Manager was not able to increase the level of TAAP inspection". At the Utility Manager's Self-Assessment interview the Manager was asked about the closure of this corrective action. The Utility Manager said that during year end his team is overwhelmed and was working extensively, leaving little time for the Penetration Permit inspections.

During the Utility Locator's interview, the Utility Locator stated that he observes about 50% of the penetrations in the field, but that he has not been documenting his actions or observing for the same issues as the Technical Assurance Inspector.

Both the Utility Locator and the Utility Manager agreed that their best option was to have the Utility Locator start documenting his field observations, aligning his observations with those checked by the TAP Inspector. Combining the TAP Inspectors' and the Utility Locator's documents could give the process an increased level of oversight. The inspection sheets could then be tracked for trending and analysis.

During this assessment period, the Department of Energy Assessment of the Penetration Permit Program corrective actions stated "Management walkthroughs of the penetration permit projects for

safety and quality should be performed on a regular basis, not only by the penetration permit staff but by the operations staff, EH&S and senior management.” As a result of this corrective action management/BSO inspections have been scheduled for the year. Two inspections have taken place to date. The first inspection found:

- The permit was not on site
- The permit was not signed by the acting RI (signed RI on vacation)
- The description of feet and inches was not spelled out.

The second quarterly inspection found no penetration permit issues.

Month	Number of Permits Issued FY10-11	Number of Tap Inspections FY 10-11	FY10-11 Inspection %	Number of Permits Issues FY11-12	Number of Tap Inspections FY 11-12	FY 11-12 Inspection %
Oct	24	8	34%	17	8	27%
Nov	29	16		22	1	
Dec	18	5		21	4	
Jan	24	13		21	8	
Feb	30	15		26	17	
Mar	32	12		28	8	
Apr	24	5		23	8	
May	35	10		33	8	
Jun	29	8		31	4	
Jul	24	8		22	5	
Aug	44	15		35	3	
Sept	34	2		40	5	
Totals	347	117		298	80	

Comparison of 2010-2011 inspection dates and amounts

EH&S documented 1557 Penetration Permit observations during 2010-2011 with 2 low risk findings. Between Sept 30, 2011 and Oct 1, 2012 EH&S documented 1220 Penetration area observations with 1 low risk finding.

Training

There are currently 209 Laboratory employees who have taken the FAC0070 Penetration Permit training. Refresher training FAC0074 was developed in 2011. Since that time only 93 Responsible Individuals have taken the refresher training. The refresher training is entered into the JHA system as a recommended training.

A review of the 51 active RIs who used Penetration Permits between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012, determined that 108 permits were issued to 19 individuals who have never taken the refresher training (Appendix H).

2012-2013 Responsible Individuals Most Recent Training Dates								
Year of Training	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Unknown/Left lab
# of RIs most recent training date	6	1	4	1	2	32	0	5

The 51 Active Responsible Individuals Most Recent Training Dates

Because the refresher training is recommended but not required, there is no assurance the Responsible Individuals stay informed, updated, or refreshed on the penetration permit processes. Since 2006, the process has had numerous changes and updates.

Penetration Permit Documents

In 2012 the Utility Group reviewed, and revised ADMN 053 (LBNL Surface Penetration Procedures), the Penetration Permit Application, FAC0070 Penetration Permit training, and FAC0074 the on line refresher training. On December 3, 2012 the Utility Manager sent an email to all Responsible Individuals outlining the main changes to ADMN 053.

During the Self-Assessment review the RIs were questioned about the changes to the ADMN 053. Half of the eight individuals were unaware of the revisions or process changes. One of the individuals is a carpenter who does not access email and would not have seen the change notification. Of the 8 interviewed individuals 4 did remember the December 3rd email, 1 individual did not remember the specifics. Another individual had a question about the email and believed one of the changes needed clarification.

The ADMN 053 Rev 5 changes include:

- Document name change to ADMN-053 LBNL Surface Penetration Procedures, Revision 5.
- The permit is required for all concrete surface (wall, floor, ground, including asphalt, paving, etc.) penetration work regardless of depth.
- For other types of penetrations, this permit is required if the penetration depth is greater than 2 inches instead of 1-5/8 inches.
- The issued permit is good for 45 calendar days instead of 30 days.
- One 45 day extension is allowed.
- No Penetration Permit is required for gypsum board (sheet rock) wall penetrations provided that the area has been swept for active and passive electric current by the LBNL Utility Coordinator or his designee, and both sides of the wall have been visually inspected for evidence or repairs and wall cavity have been visually inspected for hidden objects. A pilot hole 1/8 inch in diameter to depth of anchor is required.
- Allow saw cutting of the asphalt based on the depth of the asphalt in a pilot hole.
- Remove the Variance Request for short turnaround of the Penetration Permit request.

- Updated the RI's responsibilities to include 24 hour notice to the Technical Assurance Assessment Plan (TAAP) Inspector prior to the start of the surface penetration process.
- Identified the specific duties of the TAAP Inspector.

All of the RIs were questioned about the changes to the Penetration Permit Application. They either did not notice the changes to the Penetration Permit Application or they made statements indicating the changes were positive and that the new application was less confusing. The application had been changed by removing confusing language, blocking out the ability to incorrectly fill out the application, and removing the non-penetration permit queries.

Both the initial Penetration Permit training FAC 0070 and the on line refresher FAC0074 was updated to reflect the changes to ADMN 053 Rev 5. However at the time of this report no one has taken either of the updated trainings.

Occurrence Reports and Corrective Actions

Between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012, there was one Occurrence Report involving Penetration Permit issues. *Occurrence Report: SC—BSO—LBL-Operations-2012-0001 Live Underground Electric Conduit Severed During Excavation-No Exposures, No Injuries*

On 1/4/2012, while excavating with a backhoe to relocate underground utilities at the new Computational Research and Theory building site, a subcontractor worker hit and severed a live underground electrical conduit and conductors. Work was immediately paused. The backhoe operator was removing the asphalt concrete paving that had been sawn –cut in advance of the trench excavation. Under the conditions of the penetration permit, it is acceptable to remove the AC paving with mechanical means. The 120v, 20 amp circuit electrical conduit was marked during the scanning process and its depth was identified at 6 ½ inches. The depth was somehow recorded in the “pothole log” as 6 ½ feet. The conduit and conductors were actually embedded in the AC pavement at 4 inches. LBNL standards require a 30 inch minimum cover. This installation had less than 5 inches of cover.

Four corrective actions were developed to address this incident. Corrective actions # 9010 1-4 have all been closed. However the uploaded objective evidence in three of the corrective actions is either inadequate or had not been implemented as intended. One of the corrective actions was open to misinterpretation and covered too many varied aspects of the work processes. Creating a corrective action development procedure that will include communication protocol will benefit the Facilities Division in creating “SMART” corrective actions.

During the Self-Assessment Interviews these corrective actions and their evidence was discussed with the Utility team. Following the interviews the Utility Manager proactively made attempts to improve documentation and process improvements to address corrective actions 9010-2, 9010-3, and 9010-4. Corrective action 9010-3 was closed in a manner that did not support its intent but the revised procedure ADMN053 satisfied the requirements of the corrective action and was uploaded during the review as evidence.

The 2010/2011 Facilities Self-Assessment Technical Assurance was performed in January 2012, reviewing Penetration Permits issued between October 1, 2010 and September 30, 2011. Each of the eleven corrective actions developed during that review process have been closed.

In August 2012 the Department of Energy conducted an Assessment of the Penetration Permit Program at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. This review identified eleven L3 findings that resulted in ten corrective actions that have been closed.

EHSS Health and Safety Document-PUB 3000

The 2010/2011 Penetration Permit Self-Assessment that Penetration Permit identified that information in the EHSS Health and Safety Manual (PUB 3000), was not centralized, making queries difficult and time consuming. This information should be consolidated to its own chapter. While a separate chapter in the manual was not established, a link to ADMN-053 REV.5 was placed in the Table of Contents resolving the issue.

Responsible Individuals/EHSS Construction Safety Interviews

A random selection of 8 Responsible Individuals from the 51 RIs who had used the Penetration Permit process during 2011-2012 were chosen for interviews. Each of the interviewees stated they have “stopped or paused work” for Penetration Permit issues. RIs state the stop work is usually due to a workers failure to follow permit specifications.

While the interview questions were about the program, the Utility Locator was singled out by every RI as being efficient and key to the Penetration Permit process. One RI described the Utility Locator as “a Facilities Division best hire.” The entire Penetration Permit team is mentioned as being “very responsive with good service.”

Findings

There were no findings identified in this assessment.

Observations

The following observations were derived from interviews and document reviews:

- The Penetration Permit Refresher training is recommended but not required, allowing RIs to work many years without training updates.
- Only 50% of RIs interviewed were informed on ADMN-053 Rev 5 updates.
- Inspection totals vary widely each month due to availability of TAP Inspector.
- The safety checklist needs to be updated.
- Some corrective actions were closed with incorrect documentation or process changes- This issue was resolved during assessment process-(All future corrective actions documentation will be reviewed by the Safety Manager).
 - Corrective action 9010-2 documentation did not indicate a process change that addressed the corrective actions- This issue was resolved during assessment process (The Utility Locator will make a notation on the cover of the Penetration Permit when the utility cover is less than 12 inches).
 - Corrective Action 9010-4 was closed incorrectly- This issue was resolved during assessment process (Appendix G).

Noteworthy

1. The Facilities Division ensures a higher level of caution by the use of non-destructive means of excavation within a 30 inch radius of a marked or exposed utility. The industry standard is 24 inches.
2. During 2012 the Utility group updated ADMN-053, The Penetration Permit Application, The on-line refresher training, the FAC0070 Penetration Permit training presentation, and worked with EHS&S to add a link to ADMN-053 Rev 5 in Pub 3000.

Recommended Corrective Actions

The following recommended corrective actions have been entered into the Corrective Action Tracking System (CATS) database-CATS # 9390 1-6

- The Utility Manager will work with EHS&S to change the refresher training from recommended to required.
- The Utility Manager will implement alternate communications methods to ensure all RIs receive notifications of process changes and updates.
- The Utility group will update the safety checklist.
- Require the Utility Locator to inspect and document the same issues as the TAP Inspector.
- Continue to track RIs who are not providing start of work notifications and ensure they provide notification in the future.

Conclusions

The Facilities Division Penetration Permit Program continues to be a well-functioning process, due in large part to the dedicated members of its team. During this past year the Utility group reviewed and revised ADMN-053, penetration permit application, the FAC0070 training presentation and the FAC0074 refresher training. For the second year in a row the randomly selected RIs voiced their approval of the Utility team and the Penetration Permit process. The Utility Locator was noted as being responsible and accessible. The Penetration Permit team meets weekly to discuss current Penetration Permit Issues. This year the Utility team processed 298 Penetration Permits and 80 TAP inspections. The Self-Assessment included a review of those documents and 8 completed Penetration Permits were pulled from project files for review. The Utility team, EHS&S safety, and 8 Responsible Individuals were interviewed. In 2012 inspections rates dropped to 26% from the 2011's 34%. When noting the number of inspections per month, there is a distinct realization that the inspection percentages are not even or consistent over the year. Some months have a large number of inspections and some of the busier months (year-end) have few inspections. The inspection rates are tied to the availability of the TAP Inspector. The Utility Locator states that he visits about half of the Penetration Permit locations. The Utility Locator should document his field observations increasing rates ensuring coverage during those times when the TAP Inspector is pulled away to perform other job duties.

This Self-Assessment subject (Penetration Permit) has been tagged going forward as a yearly review. This year included a comparison of the Penetration Permits vs. actual field conditions. Facilities Division will continue to improve this process each year.

Assessment Scope and Supporting Documentation

Facilities reviewed the Penetration Permit practices to assure the process is functioning as intended.

Documents reviewed and individuals interviewed included:

- Utility Coordinator
- Utility Locator
- Utility Technical Assurance Inspector
- Eight Responsible Individuals
- ADMN-053 Rev 5
- Pub 3000 Chapters 6, 8, 10, 11, and 25
- On line training course FAC0074
- FAC00070 training power point
- Draft penetration permits (298), with their attached applications
- Eighty completed safety checklists
- Penetration Permit related corrective actions
- Pub 3000 chapter 10
- ADMN-053 Rev 5
- 76 completed safety checklists
- 298 draft Penetration Permits
- 8 completed Penetration Permits
- DBO2 Inspections

Because Penetration Permits are stored in their project files only a small number of these were reviewed (8)

Appendix A-Lines of Inquiry

Lines of inquiry for this Self-Assessment include:

1. Does the current content of ADMN-053 Rev5 meet the requirements for a comprehensive Penetration Permit program?
2. Does the training provided for the Penetration Permit Responsible Individuals meet the needs of those requiring Penetration Permit program training?
3. During field work, are Penetration Permit program implementation and programmatic issues adequately identified?
4. During field work, is Penetration Permit program implementation issues properly controlled?
5. When unsafe or non-compliant conditions are identified are they corrected promptly and are the corrections adequate to prevent recurrence of the non-compliance or unsafe situation?
6. Through field inspections, are construction contractors, LBNL Facilities personnel, non-construction subcontractors, service vendors, visiting scientists, engineers, participating guests and students determined to be properly trained in the Penetration Permit Program?
7. Are unsafe conditions that were identified through internal or external assessments documented?
8. Are issues that cannot be immediately corrected that were identified through internal or external assessments documented in the CATS database?
9. Are issues managed through resolution in a timely manner?
10. Are corrective actions effective?
11. Are Lessons Learned and Best Practices developed and disseminated to the appropriate staff?
12. Is feedback from staff received and addressed?
13. Are Lessons Learned and Best Practices incorporated into work planning and Control processes?

Appendix B-CATS #9010-1, 2, & 4 Closure Email Documentation

----- Original Message -----

Subject:utility locating numeric symbols

Date:Mon, 09 Jan 2012 15:13:19 -0800

From:Tom Reese <tareese@lbl.gov>

To:Eric Lahrs <ELahrs@lbl.gov>, Michael Elizalde <mike_elizalde@lbl.gov>

Appendix

CC:Mike Dong <mcdong@lbl.gov>

Eric,

please advise those at Digital Concrete that any numerical markings LESS than one foot MUST be marked with "INCHES" following the numerical number. I am referring to LOCATING markings, NOT scan markings.

Example:

6 inches, not 6"

1'-6" is OK.

2'-0" is 0

Appendix C –CATS # 9010-2 Updated Closure Documentation

February 15, 2012

MCDong@lbl.gov

to Eric, Tom, Michael, me

CATS 9010-3:

*Note:
Incorrect Cats
Number This
corrective action was
correctly applied to
9010-2*



The Utility Coordinator will include in the Penetration Permit process, when an underground utility is known to have less than 12 inches of cover a special notation will be made on the Penetration Permit and such locations will be discussed and identified during the pre-task briefing.

The recommended corrective action is specifically applicable to the Responsible Individual who receives the Permit. Starting immediately, for underground utility that is known to have less than 12" cover, the Permit shall be specifically identified on the cover sheet and on the Pre-start checklist for the RI that the utility has less than 12" cover.

Janice, please upload the procedure update to 9010 for me.

Thanks.

Mike

Appendix D-CATS # 9010-3 Closure Email Documentation # 1

To Facilities RI,

This is to reinforce the quality control/quality assurance that we have in the Penetration Permit process. All RI must comply with the procedure as stated in ADMN-054, rev. 4 as attached. In addition, the RI must comply with the Technical Assurance Assess Plan as stated in the forwarded message.

As stated, you are to notify Mike Elizalde via his cell phone or email a minimum of 24 hours in advance of any surface penetration work.

If you have any question, please do not hesitate to call me. Thanks.

Mike

Appendix E- CATS # 9010-3 Closure Email Documentation # 2

To all,

The link to the Penetration Permit procedure and the TAAP is on the sign in page of the Application page, <https://fac.lbl.gov/Facilities/OpMaint/DigApp/>.

The email that was quoted in the earlier email was send on 4/1/2011 related to the TAAP and is quoted below.

To All,

In December 2009, the Facilities Division adopted a "Technical Assurance Assessment Plan" as part of the penetration permit process. The intent of this plan is to further reduce possibilities of a "hit" of any mechanical, electrical, or structural object in walls, floors, ceilings, or below earth surfaces.

The TAAP requires a Facilities "third party" inspector to do field inspections. It is his job to insure the approved penetration permit and the work to be performed are compatible as requested. He will insure the person doing the work has a clear understanding of any imposed limitations, depths, and fully understands what methods or tools are approved as part of the permit process.

The RI (responsible individual) is REQUIRED to contact the Facilities third party inspector 24 hrs. in advance of surface penetration. It is NOT the responsibility of the person actually doing the work. Page 2 of an approved permit states:

"The RI MUST contact Michael Elizalde (812-0671) at least 24hrs. in advance of any drilling". (_____ initials)

I need every ones full cooperation to comply with the adopted TAAP policy.

Tom Reese

Appendix F- CATS 9010-3 Updated Closure Email Documentation # 3

Facilities management should reinforce the Quality Control/Quality Assurance review procedure listed in Administrative Procedure ADMN-053, Rev. 4.

Please provide your TAAP inspection of the Penetration Permit. Please upload the inspection checklist to the server ASAP. Thanks.

Appendix G CATS # 9010-4 Updated Word Documentation

CATS 9010-4: Facilities Management should coordinate with Facilities staff to determine the extent of conditions and identify location where similar installations (conduit embedded in asphalt) exist.

- The corrective action as recommended is impossible to comply due to the cost associated with scanning all the asphalt surfaces for the embedded conduits.
- The Utility Manager has interviewed several LBNL employees who may have embedded conduits in the asphalt. None of them has recollection of done that.

Appendix H-List of TAP Inspections and Refresher Training

Penetration Permits issued between Oct 1, 2011 and Sept 30, 2012							
Permit Number	Number of Inspections	Responsible Individual	Date of Issue	Location	Inspection Dates	RI Refresher trained	Most Recent Training Date
2361	0	Galvez	11/4/2011	B 70		Yes	11/1/2011
2389	0	Cota	10/19/2011	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2424	2	Patterson	6/3/2011	B 51	Dec 15, 2011, Feb 1, Feb 16, 2012	Yes	10/31/2011
2531	0	Beaton	10/19/2011	B 5		Yes	10/31/2011
2544	2	White	9/27/2011		Oct 18, 2011, Feb 16, 2012	No	8/7/2009
2549	1	Beaton			10/17/2011	Yes	10/31/2011
2557	0	Beaton	4/30/2012	B 85		Yes	10/31/2011
2572	1	Brunkow			11/1/2011	Yes	11/13/2011
2573	0	Galvez	10/19/2011	B 58A		Yes	11/1/2011
2576	1	Tully	10/18/2011	B 55	28-Oct-11	Yes	11/18/2011
2577	0	Reese	12/26/2011	B 62		No	10/12/2007
2578	0	Estrada	10/12/2011	B 70A		Yes	10/24/2011
2580	0	R Bennett	10/24/2011	B 31		No	11/1/2006
2582	1	Cota	10/11/2011	B 74	31-Oct-11	No	12/1/2010
2584	1	Beaton	10/6/2011	B 85	27-Oct-11	Yes	10/31/2011
2585	0	Mason	10/24/2011	B 400 JGI		No	10/14/2011
2586	0	Patterson	10/19/2011	B51		Yes	10/31/2011
2587	0	Cota	10/18/2011	B 84		No	12/1/2010
2588	0	Doty	10/18/2011	B 50B		Yes	1/22/2011
2590	0	Patterson	10/19/2011	B51		Yes	10/31/2011
2591	1	Patterson	10/19/2011	B51	21-Oct-11	Yes	10/31/2011
2592	1	Elizalde	10/13/2011	B 88	17-Oct-11	Yes	1/21/2011
2596	0	White	10/18/2011	B 79		No	8/7/2009
2597	1	White			18-Oct-11	No	8/7/2009
2598	0	Tully	11/1/2011	B 84		Yes	11/18/2011
2599	0	R Bennett	11/1/2011	B 67		No	11/1/2006
2600	0	R Bennett	11/1/2011	B 2		No	11/1/2006
2601	0	R Bennett	11/1/2011	B 66		No	11/1/2006
2602	0	R Bennett	11/1/2011	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2603	0	R Bennett	11/1/2011	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2604	0	Kemper	11/2/2011	UC Tower		Yes	12/4/2011
2605	0	Kemper	11/11/2011	CRT		Yes	12/4/2011

2606	0	Velasco	10/29/2011	B 76		No	9/12/2008
2607	0	Lipton	12/2/2011	B 77		Yes	12/8/2011
2608	0	Cota	11/30/2011	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2609	0	R Bennett	11/1/2011	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2612	0	Schaefer	11/4/2011	B 6		Yes	12/1/2011
2614	0	T Bennett	11/28/2011	B 50B		Yes	12/6/2011
2615	0	Reese	11/7/2011	B50F		No	10/12/2007
2616	0	Fellows	11/8/2011	B 76		Yes	11/21/2011
2617	0	Beaton	11/22/2011	B 33		Yes	10/31/2011
2619	0	Catalano	11/29/2011	B 6		No	Unknown
2621	0	R Bennett	12/6/2011	B 67		No	11/1/2006
2622	0	Kemper	11/25/2011	B 59 CERT		Yes	12/4/2011
2623	0	Murphy	11/18/2011	B 70A		Yes	11/18/2011
2624	0	R Bennett	11/28/2011	B 67		No	11/1/2006
2626	0	R Bennett	11/28/2011	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2627	0	Dovich	12/6/2011	B 50A		No	4/28/2010
2628	1	Taylor	12/2/2011	B 62	13-Jan-12	Yes	11/21/2011
2629	0	Huebschle	12/1/2011	B 62		Yes	10/21/2011
2630	0	Tully	12/16/2011	B 55		Yes	11/18/2011
2631	1	Cota	12/21/2011	B 74	30-Jan-12	No	12/1/2010
2632	1	Cota	1/17/2012	B 74	16-Feb-12	No	12/1/2010
2633	0	Velasco	12/1/2011	B50F		No	9/12/2008
2634	0	Estrada	12/6/2011	B 62		Yes	10/24/2011
2635	0	Crofoot	1/5/2012	B 50A		No	11/1/2006
2636	0	Schaefer	12/12/2011	B 6		Yes	12/1/2011
2637	1	Lahrs	12/27/2011	B 67	29-Dec-12	Yes	11/23/2011
2638	0	Doty	12/14/2011	B 971		Yes	1/22/2011
2639	0	Doty	12/14/2011	B 70A		Yes	1/22/2011
2640	1	Elizalde	12/28/2011	B 65B	28-Dec-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2641	0	Greenway	1/10/2012	B 58A		Yes	11/21/2011
2642	0	Mattson	12/21/2011	B 50B		No	11/1/2006
2643	4	Kemper	12/21/2011	B 59 CERT	Jan 19, Feb 2, and Feb 9, 2012	Yes	12/4/2011
2644	1	Elizalde	12/19/2011	B 31	5-Jan-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2646	0	Crofoot	12/21/2011	B 50A		No	11/1/2006
2647	1	Dovich	1/12/2012	B 62	12-Jan-12	No	4/28/2010
2648	1	Begley	2/10/2012	B 15	13-Feb-12	No	11/1/2006
2649	0	Warner	12/22/2011	B 88		Yes	10/13/2011
2650	0	Elizalde	12/21/2011	B 46		Yes	1/21/2011
2652	0	Tully	1/4/2012	B 55		Yes	11/18/2011
2652	0	Crofoot	1/17/2012	B 50		No	11/1/2006

2653	0	Cota	1/7/2012	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2654	1	Cota	1/12/2012	B 74	17-Jan-12	No	12/1/2010
2655	0	Deaver	1/17/2012	B 6		Yes	10/18/2011
2656	1	Taylor	3/5/2012	B 77	20-Apr-12	Yes	11/21/2011
2657	0	Doty	1/17/2012	B 67		Yes	1/22/2011
2658	1	R Bennett	1/26/2012	B 77A	1-Feb-12	No	11/1/2006
2659	1	Samatua	2/2/2012	B 88	6-Feb-12	Yes	11/29/2011
2660	0	Doty	1/17/2012	Strawberry gate		Yes	1/22/2011
2661	2	Brunkow	1/23/2012	B 71	Jan 1 and Feb 1, 2012	Yes	11/13/2011
2662	1	Beaton	1/22/2012	B 33	9-Feb-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2663	0	Dovich	1/18/2012	B 70		No	4/28/2010
2664	0	Doty	1/20/2012	B 48		Yes	1/22/2011
2665	0	R Bennett	1/26/2012	B 62		No	11/1/2006
2666	0	Catalano	2/22/2012	B 15		No	Unknown
2667	0	Galvez	1/24/2012	B 62		Yes	11/1/2011
2668	1	Blair	1/20/2012	B 65	20-Jan-12	Yes	11/21/2011
2669	1	Cota	2/27/2012	B 74	17-Apr-12	No	12/1/2010
2670	0	Doty	1/24/2012	B 66		Yes	1/22/2011
2671	1	Kemper	1/30/2012	B 52	6-Feb-12	Yes	12/4/2011
2672	0	Kemper	2/2/2012	B 4		Yes	12/4/2011
2673	2	Cota	2/1/2012	B 74	Feb,8, 2012- March 7, 2012	No	12/1/2010
2674	1	Doty	2/1/2012	B 46	3-Feb-12	Yes	1/22/2011
2675	0	Reese	2/15/2012	B 71		No	10/12/2007
2676	1	Samatua	3/27/2012	B 62	29-Mar-12	Yes	11/29/2011
2677	1	Patterson	2/21/2012	B51	21-Mar-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2678	0	Velasco	2/15/2012	B 85A		No	9/12/2008
2679	0	Tully	2/21/2012	B 55		Yes	11/18/2011
2680	0	Velasco	2/15/2012	B 50A		No	9/12/2008
2681	1	Brunkow	2/16/2012	B 71	16-Feb-12	Yes	11/13/2011
2682	0	Doty	2/24/2012	B 46		Yes	1/22/2011
2683	0	Doty	2/29/2012	B 26		Yes	1/22/2011
2684	0	R Bennett	2/16/2012	B 67		No	11/1/2006
2685	0	R Bennett	2/29/2012	B 64		No	11/1/2006
2686	1	Keffler	2/27/2012	B 77	29-Feb-12	No	Unknown
2687	0	Cota	2/22/2012	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2691	0	Doty	2/23/2012	B 5A		Yes	1/22/2011
2692	1	Doty	2/23/2012	B51	27-Feb-12	Yes	1/22/2011
2693	0	Samatua	3/20/2012	B 001		Yes	11/29/2011

2694	0	Beaton	2/25/2012	B 85A		Yes	10/31/2011
2695	1	Cota	2/23/2012	B 74	4/17/2012	No	12/1/2010
2696	0	Beaton	2/29/2012	B 943		Yes	10/31/2011
2698	0	Brunkow	3/1/2012	B 50B		Yes	11/13/2011
2699	0	Elizalde	3/1/2012	B 54		Yes	1/21/2011
2700	0	Crofoot	3/1/2012	B 31		No	11/1/2006
2710	0	R Bennett	3/1/2012	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2711	0	R Bennett	3/1/2012	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2712	0	Shannon	3/5/2012	B 88		No	Unknown
2713	0	R Bennett	2/29/2012	B 70		No	11/1/2006
2714	0	Doty	3/1/2012	B51		Yes	1/22/2011
2715	0	Torres	3/1/2012	B 66		Yes	10/17/2011
2716	0	Fellows	3/2/2012	B 6		Yes	11/21/2011
2717	0	Kemper	4/4/2012	B 59 CERT		Yes	12/4/2011
2718	0	Schaefer	3/6/2012	B 6		Yes	12/1/2011
2719	0	Galvez	3/6/2012	B 70		Yes	11/1/2011
2720	0	Estrada	3/12/2012	B 77		Yes	10/24/2011
2721	0	Cota	3/12/2012	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2722	0	Reese	3/8/2012	B 62		No	10/12/2007
2723	2	Janvandel	3/19/2012	B51	March 19 and March 21 2012	No	10/17/2006
2724	1	Karasaki	3/19/2012	Calvin Road	21-Mar-12	Yes	11/19/2011
2725	0	Elizalde	3/16/2012	Hillwide		Yes	1/21/2011
2726	1	Beaton	3/22/2012	B 33	11-Apr-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2727	0	Catalano	5/7/2012	B 6		No	Unknown
2728	0	Huebschle	3/22/2012	B 77		Yes	10/21/2011
2729	0	Estrada	3/20/2012	B 67		Yes	10/24/2011
2730	0	Beaton	3/20/2012	B 31		Yes	10/31/2011
2731	1	Cota	4/6/2012	B 74	17-Apr-12	No	12/1/2010
2732	0	Cota	3/29/2012	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2733	1	Mattson	3/27/2012	B 50B	29-Mar-12	No	11/1/2006
2734	0	R Bennett	4/3/2012	B 6		No	11/1/2006
2735	0	R Bennett	4/3/2012	B 2		No	11/1/2006
2736	0	R Bennett	4/3/2012	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2738	0	R Bennett	4/3/2012	B 2		No	11/1/2006
2739	0	R Bennett	4/3/2012	B 2		No	11/1/2006
2740	1	Beaton	3/30/2012	B 33	April 11, 2012	Yes	10/31/2011
2742	0	Estrada	3/30/2012	B 50B		Yes	10/24/2011
2743	0	Velasco	4/2/2012	B 70A		No	9/12/2008

2744	0	White	4/5/2012	B 79		No	8/7/2009
2745	0	Brunkow	4/6/2012	B 48		Yes	11/13/2011
2746	1	Doty	4/17/2012	B 66	May 3, 2012	Yes	1/22/2011
2747	0	Doty	4/6/2012	B 76		Yes	1/22/2011
2748	0	Troutman	5/15/2012	B 6		Yes	10/13/2011
2750	0	R Bennett	4/18/2012	B 2		No	11/1/2006
2751	1	White	5/31/2012	Grizzly Gate	31-May-12	No	8/7/2009
2752	0	Doty	4/20/2012	B 50B		Yes	1/22/2011
2753	1	Reese	4/17/2012			No	10/12/2007
2754	0	Patterson	5/3/2012	Strawberry gate		Yes	10/31/2011
2755	0	Garcia	4/24/2012	B 88		No	Unknown
2756	0	R Bennett	4/12/2012	B 71B		No	11/1/2006
2756	0	Elizalde	7/25/2012	B 71B		Yes	1/21/2011
2758	0	Doty	4/12/2012	B 79		Yes	1/22/2011
2760	0	Doty	4/12/2012	B 79		Yes	1/22/2011
2761	1	Kemper	4/27/2012	B 59 CERT	31-Jul-12	Yes	12/4/2011
2762	0	Estrada	5/3/2012	B 50		Yes	10/24/2011
2763	0	Doty	5/3/2012	B 66		Yes	1/22/2011
2764	1	Patterson	4/19/2012	B51	30-Apr-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2765	0	Doty	5/3/2012	B 46A		Yes	1/22/2011
2766	1	Patterson	4/23/2012	B51	30-Apr-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2767	0	Garcia	5/2/2012	B 88			Unknown
2768	0	Doty	5/15/2012	B 50A		Yes	1/22/2011
2769	0	T Bennett	5/10/2012	B 67		Yes	12/6/2011
2770	1	Doty	5/22/2012	B 76	29-May-12	Yes	1/22/2011
2771	1	Brunkow			5/18/2012	Yes	11/13/2011
2772	1	Reese	4/27/2012	B 73	10-May-12	No	10/12/2007
2773	1	Doty	5/15/2012	B 56W	21-May-12	Yes	1/22/2011
2774	0	Brunkow	5/8/2012	B 50B		Yes	11/13/2011
2775	1	Brunkow	5/4/2012	B 67		Yes	11/13/2011
2776	0	Tully	5/10/2012	B 66		Yes	11/18/2011
2777	0	Tully	6/12/2012	B 66		Yes	11/18/2011
2778	1	Doty	5/10/2012	B 66	11-May-12	Yes	1/22/2011
2779	0	R Bennett	5/10/2012	B 62		No	11/1/2006
2780	0	R Bennett	5/15/2012	B 50B		No	11/1/2006
2782	1	Janvandel	5/9/2012	B51	7-Jun-12	No	10/17/2006
2783	0	Samatua	5/31/2012	B 88		Yes	11/29/2011
2784	0	Doty	5/15/2012	B 66		Yes	1/22/2011
2785	1	Doty	5/14/2012	B 58A	17-May-12	Yes	1/22/2011

2786	0	Elizalde	6/1/2012	B 46Y		Yes	1/21/2011
2787	0	R Bennett	5/15/2012	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2788	0	Warner	5/15/2012	B 88		Yes	10/13/2011
2789	0	Reese	5/14/2012	B 90		No	10/12/2007
2790	0	Estrada	5/14/2012	B 76		Yes	10/24/2011
2792	0	Eisentraut	5/22/2012	B 71		No	Unknown
2793	0	Doty	5/17/2012	B971		Yes	1/22/2011
2794	0	Velasco	5/15/2012	B 66		No	9/12/2008
2795	0	Samatua	5/22/2012	B 62		Yes	11/29/2011
2797	0	Galvez	6/7/2012	B 58		Yes	11/1/2011
2798	0	R Bennett	6/5/2012	B 70		No	11/1/2006
2799	2	Brunkow	6/8/2012	B 62	6/7/2012, 6/15/2012	Yes	11/13/2011
2800	0	Schaefer	6/8/2012	B 67		Yes	12/1/2011
2803	1	Elizalde	7/16/2012	B 69	16-Jul-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2805	0	Lahrs	5/31/2012	B 56		Yes	1/23/2011
2806	0	Beaton	5/31/2012	B 33		Yes	10/31/2011
2807	0	Lipton	6/12/2012	B 58A		Yes	12/8/2011
2808	0	Grounds	6/7/2012	B 943		Yes	11/22/2011
2810	0	Doty	6/18/2012	B 50A		Yes	1/22/2011
2811	0	Troutman	9/24/2012	B 6		Yes	10/13/2011
2812	0	Patterson	6/18/2012	B 90		Yes	10/31/2011
2813	1	Dovich			Jun2 28, 2012	No	4/28/2010
2814	0	Doty	6/18/2012	B 2		Yes	1/22/2011
2815	0	R Bennett	6/12/2012	B 64		No	11/1/2006
2816	0	R Bennett	6/12/2012	B 66		No	11/1/2006
2817	0	R Bennett	6/18/2012	B 55		No	11/1/2006
2818	0	R Bennett	6/18/2012	B 85		No	11/1/2006
2819	0	Velasco	6/15/2012	B 50B		No	9/12/2008
2820	1	Elizalde	6/12/2012	B 56W	13-Jun-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2821	0	Samatua	6/13/2012	B 62		Yes	12/1/2011
2824	0	Doty	6/18/2012	B 58		Yes	1/22/2011
2825	0	Doty	6/18/2012	B 75D		Yes	1/22/2011
2826	0	Doty	6/21/2012	B 50A		Yes	1/22/2011
2827	0	White	6/20/2012	Grizzly Gate		No	8/7/2009
2827	0	White	6/27/2012	Grizzly Gate	variance	No	8/7/2009
2828	0	Estrada	6/21/2012	B 76		Yes	10/24/2011
2829	0	Estrada	6/21/2012	B 76		Yes	10/24/2011
2830	0	Tully	6/29/2012	B 84		Yes	11/18/2011

2833	0	Doty	6/22/2012	B 65		Yes	1/22/2011
2834	1	Elizalde	7/16/2012	B 76	17-Jul-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2835	0	Reese	7/6/2012	B 67		No	10/12/2007
2836	0	White	6/22/2012	B 79		No	8/7/2009
2837	1	Elizalde	7/10/2012	B 61	11-Jul-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2838	0	Estrada	6/27/2012	B 70A		Yes	10/24/2011
2839	0	Dovich	8/2/2012	B 70A		No	4/28/2010
2840	0	R Bennett	7/5/2012	B 70		No	11/1/2006
2841	0	Warner	7/5/2012	B 88		No	10/13/2011
2842	0	Kpaka	8/11/2012	B 90		Yes	11/27/2011
2843	0	Grounds	7/3/2012	B 943		Yes	11/22/2011
2844	0	Velasco	6/28/2012	B 88		No	9/12/2008
2845	0	Estrada	2/27/2012	B 67		Yes	10/24/2011
2846	0	Beaton	6/29/2012	B 943	Variance	Yes	10/31/2011
2846	0	Beaton	7/2/2012	B 85		Yes	10/31/2011
2847	0	Doty	7/5/2012	B 66		Yes	1/22/2011
2848	0	Grounds	7/10/2012	B 943		Yes	11/22/2011
2849	0	Doty	7/5/2012	B 66		Yes	1/22/2011
2850	1	Doty	8/3/2012	B 75A	13-Aug-12	Yes	1/22/2011
2851	0	Deaver	7/3/2012	B 66		Yes	10/18/2011
2852	0	Cota	7/6/2012	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2853	0	Doty	7/10/2012	B 74		Yes	1/22/2011
2854	1	Patterson	7/26/2012	B 90	31-Jul-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2855	0	Doty	7/31/2012	B 75		Yes	1/22/2011
2856	0	Dovich	7/26/2012	B 74		No	4/28/2010
2857	0	Elizalde	7/31/2012	B 76		Yes	1/21/2011
2858	0	Tully	8/22/2012	B 74		Yes	11/18/2011
2860	0	Brunkow	7/20/2012	B 45		Yes	11/13/2011
2862	0	R Bennett	8/9/2012	B 70A		No	11/1/2006
2863	0	Troutman	7/31/2012	B 6		Yes	10/13/2011
2865	0	Troutman	8/1/2012	B 6		Yes	10/13/2011
2866	0	Garcia	7/27/2012	B 88			Unknown
2870	0	Klopp	8/1/2012	B 90		Yes	11/18/2011
2871	0	Deaver	8/2/2012	B51		Yes	10/18/2011
2872	0	Estrada	9/11/2012	B 76		Yes	10/24/2011
2873	0	White	8/3/2012	B 69		No	8/7/2009
2874	0	White	8/1/2012	B 78		No	8/7/2009
2875	0	Troutman	8/13/2012	B 6		Yes	10/13/2011
2876	0	Vail	8/15/2012	B 4		Yes	10/21/2011
2877	0	Patterson	8/3/2012	B 90		Yes	10/31/2012
2878	0	T Bennett	8/3/2012	B 80		Yes	12/6/2011

2879	0	T Bennett	8/3/2012	B 70A		Yes	12/6/2011
2880	0	Vail	9/5/2012	B 30		Yes	10/21/2011
2881	1	Elizalde	9/11/2012	B 48	26-Sep-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2882	1	Elizalde	9/7/2012	Sitewide	25-Sep-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2884	0	R Bennett	8/7/2012	B 70		No	11/1/2006
2886	0	T Bennett	8/15/2012	B 50B		Yes	12/6/2011
2887	1	R Bennett	8/9/2012	B 50 E	21-Aug-12	No	11/1/2006
2888	1	Patterson	8/17/2012	B 90	22-Aug-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2889	0	Vail	9/17/2012	B 30		Yes	10/21/2011
2890	0	Galvez	8/14/2012	B 66		Yes	11/1/2011
2891	0	Cota	8/15/2012	B 74		No	12/1/2010
2892	0	G Martin	8/29/2012	B 2		No	8/15/2008
2893	0	Elizalde	9/11/2012	B 70		Yes	1/21/2011
2894	1	Taylor	8/24/2012	B 77		Yes	11/21/2011
2895	0	Kpaka	8/16/2012	B 76		Yes	11/27/2011
2896	0	Kemper	9/7/2012	B 56W		Yes	12/4/2011
2897	1	Patterson	8/24/2012	B51	18-Sep-12	Yes	10/31/2011
2898	0	Alford	8/28/2012	B 50		No	3/2/2011
2899	0	Elliot	8/29/2012	B 26		No	8/15/2008
2900	0	Detzner	8/22/2012	B51		No	9/12/2008
2902	0	Murphy	8/24/2012	B 88		Yes	11/18/2011
2903	0	Murphy	9/5/2012	B 70		Yes	11/18/2011
2904	1	Murphy	8/24/2012	Jlot	18-Sep-12	Yes	11/18/2011
2906	0	White	8/24/2012	Grizzly Gate		No	8/7/2009
2907	0	Murphy	8/24/2012	B 77		Yes	11/18/2011
2908	0	Murphy	9/5/2012	B 66		Yes	11/18/2011
2909	0	Taberski	9/7/2012	B 943		No	11/17/2006
2910	0	Dovich	9/12/2012	B 31		No	4/28/2010
2911	0	Dovich	8/29/2012	B 74		No	4/28/2010
2912	0	Deaver	9/7/2012	B51		Yes	10/18/2011
2912	0	Deaver	9/7/2012	B51		Yes	10/18/2011
2913	0	Patterson	9/11/2012	B51		Yes	10/31/2011
2915	0	Estrada	9/14/2012	B 50		Yes	10/24/2011
2917	0	Lahrs	8/31/2012	B 72		Yes	11/23/2011
2919	0	Samatua	9/5/2012	B 62		Yes	11/29/2011
2920	0	Fellows	9/11/2012	B 79		Yes	11/21/2011
2921	0	T Bennett	9/13/2012	B 50B		Yes	12/6/2011
2923	0	Taberski	9/7/2012	B 943		No	11/17/2006
2924	0	Reese	9/9/2012	B 56		No	10/12/2007
2925	0	Schaefer	9/12/2012	B 50B		Yes	12/1/2011

2926	0	Galvez	9/17/2012	B 58		Yes	11/1/2011
2927	0	Patterson	9/12/2012	B 90		Yes	10/31/2011
2930	0	Taylor	9/21/2012	B 77		Yes	11/21/2011
2932	0	T Bennett	9/13/2012	B 66		Yes	12/6/2011
2933	1	Elizalde	9/21/2012	B 65	22-Sep-12	Yes	1/21/2011
2934	0	T Bennett	9/3/2012	B 67		Yes	12/6/2011
2936	0	Elliot	9/14/2012	B 50B		No	8/15/2008
2937	0	Elliot	9/18/2012	B 50A		No	8/15/2008
2940	0	R Bennett	9/27/2012	B 84		No	11/1/2006
2942	0	Brunkow	9/25/2012	B 62		Yes	11/13/2011
2943	0	Ngim	9/27/2012	B 28		Yes	11/18/2011
2944	0	Elizalde	9/27/2012	B 70A		Yes	1/21/2011
2945	0	Tully	9/27/2012	B 66		Yes	11/18/2011
2947	0	Brunkow	9/28/2012	B 45		Yes	11/13/2011
2948	0	Estrada	9/27/2012	B 76		Yes	10/24/2011
2949	0	Dovich	9/27/2012	B 70A		No	4/28/2010
2950	0	Huebschle	9/27/2012	B 90		Yes	10/21/2011
2951	0	Samatua	9/26/2012	B 6		Yes	11/29/2011